

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ith

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/411,629	10/01/1999	WATARU NARA	0557-4784-2	8585	
22850	7590 03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		TRAN, NHAN T			
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2615		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			111
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
4	09/411,629	NARA, WATARU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Nhan T. Tran	2615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communic IBANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6 October 2004.	•	
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under			ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8 and 13-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8 and 13-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		·
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			24(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>
Attachment(s)	" <b>.</b>	0 (072 ) (2)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	· —	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/411,629

Art Unit: 2615

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-8 and 13-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 5-7, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bilhan et al (US 6,791,607).

Regarding claim 5, Bilhan discloses an image reading apparatus (Figs. 5 & 7 and abstract) comprising:

photoelectric conversion means (CCD) for photoelectrically converting image information obtained from optically reading an original image, line by line, and outputting an

image signal, the photoelectrically converting means having optically shielding means (optical black pixels) provided at a portion thereof (see Fig. 6 and col. 4, lines 20-40);

black shading correction means (circuitry shown in Figs. 5 & 7) for correcting the image signal using a black reference level (OB<sub>average</sub> output from digital average 512), the black reference level being obtained from the portion of the electrically converting means for each line during an operation of the reading of the original image, wherein the black reference level used by the black shading correcting means for each is obtained using black reference values (values of optical black pixels), each of the black reference values being data of the portion of the photolectrically converting means for a respective one of a plurality of lines, wherein the black reference level (OB<sub>average</sub> output from digital average 512) is a moving average of the black reference values (values of optical black pixels). See col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 67.

Regarding claim 6, as clearly seen in col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 2 and col. 5, lines 43-50, the black reference level for a respective line is an average of pixel values is a main scan direction (Fig. 6), the moving average is obtained from moving averaging, in a sub-scan direction, the black reference values (the number of lines to be averaged is inherently performed in sub-scan direction).

Regarding claims 7, 13 & 15, see the Examiner's analysis in claim 5.

Regarding claim 14, see the Examiner's analysis in claim 6.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bilhan et al (US 6,791,607) in view of Barron et al (US 5,659,355).

Regarding claim 8, Bilhan teaches that the imaging apparatus is *highly programmable* and the user can program *the optical black pixels per line* and *the number of lines to be* averaged by calibration logic 714 (col. 5, lines 46-50). However, Bilhan is silent about the number of lines comprising the current line and preceding lines. Barron teaches averaging of black reference values by using a plurality of lines that comprises the current line and preceding lines (e.g., first 4 lines including preceding 3 lines and current 4<sup>th</sup> line, or first 8 lines including preceding 7 lines and current 8<sup>th</sup> line, etc...) so that a more accurate calculation for black level compensation is established. See Barron in col. 3, line 60 – col. 4, line 23.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to program the highly programmable imaging apparatus in Bilhan to average a predetermined number of lines that comprises the current line and preceding lines to obtain a more accurate calculation for the black level correction.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim 16, see the Examiner's analysis in claim 8.

Regarding claims 17-20, see the Examiner's analysis in claim 8. Furthermore, the combined teachings of Bilhan and Barron would also teach the data of teach of the predetermined number of immediately antecedent lines comprising an average taken through a relevant line since optical black pixels in each line are averaged before the total number of lines to be averaged again (see Bilhan in col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 2 and col. 5, lines 46-50).

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 605-4246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on (703) 305-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).